

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,435	07/03/2003	Norihiro Edwin Aoki	AOL0118	5118
22862 GLENN PATE	7590 01 <i>/22/</i> 2007 ENT GROUP		EXAM	INER
3475 EDISON	WAY, SUITE L		WON, MICHA	AEL YOUNG
MENLO PARI	K, CA 94025		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2155	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MO	NTHS	01/22/2007	PAF	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			nR
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-14
	10/613,435	AOKI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	. Art Unit	
	Michael Y. Won	2155	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI: 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a control of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Ale	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01	February 2006.		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		•	•
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeyarection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume  application from the International Bure  * See the attached detailed Office action for a life	ents have béen received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/3/03 & 10/14/05.	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	,

#### DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is in response to the Preliminary Amendment filed February 1, 2006.
- 2. Claims 1 and 4-10 have been amended.
- 3. Claims 1-10 have been examined and are pending with this action.

### Claim Objections

4. Claim 10 is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 10 of the Preliminary Amendment, specifically line 14, "which results in a second system being..." should be amended to state "which results in a second system message...".

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 6-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 6-10 recites the limitation "said interface" in the preamble of each claim.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 10 recites the limitation "said second system message" in page 8, line 15 of the Preliminary Amendment. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The correction to the objection above should resolve this rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1, 2, 6-8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harple, Jr. et al. (US 5,724,508 A).

As per **claim 1**, Harple, Jr. teaches a network based messaging, system comprising:

means for importing entire data from a sending computer's clipboard in said messaging system (see col.8, line67-col.9, line 2: "get function"); and

means for exporting said entire data from said sending computer to a receiving computer's clipboard (see col.5, lines 29-33: displayed in the text tool window on the display of each recipient... via a copy and paste operation");

wherein said sending computer and said receiving computer are communicatively connected via a network (see Fig.2 and col.10, lines 13-14: "are on network 150"); and

Art Unit: 2155

wherein said entire data comprises document data (see col.1, line 59: "shared document") and data for maintaining a formats of said document data (see col.9, lines 2-3: "check format function").

As per claim 2, which depends on claim 1, Harple, Jr. further teaches wherein said means for importing comprises: means for displaying in a message entry box a first system message indicating that said entire data has been imported into said messaging system (see col.5, lines 29-31 & lines 55-57: The first message is the actual message or clipboard itself being displayed in the message entry box), said first system message being displayed in said entry box immediately after a standard paste command is applied (implicit: see col.29-33: "integrate... via a copy and paste operation through the clipboard").

As per **claim 5**, which depends on claim 1, Harple, Jr. further teaches wherein said means for exporting comprises: means for displaying in a communication screen a second system message (see col.5, lines 55-63: "allows users to post messages... user can elect... integrates the clipboard function"), said second system message being displayed immediately after a transfer command is given by a sending user (see col.5, lines 29-33); wherein when a receiving user selects a receiving user selectable command included in said system message, said entire data is exported to said receiving computer's clipboard (see col.9, line 67-col.10, line 2: "get function").

As per **claim 6**, Harple, Jr. teaches a method for populating a remote computer's clipboard via a network based messaging system, said messaging system comprising a graphic user interface (see col.2, lines 42-43: "user interface module" and col.5, lines

Art Unit: 2155

12-13: "GUI") and a number of computers which are communicatively connected via said network (see Fig.2 and col.10, lines 13-14: "three workstations"), said interface comprising a message entry box where a user enters messages (see col.5, lines 52-63: "chat tool"), a sending user selectable command (see abstract: "library of collaboration commands"), and a communication screen where messages sent by each user are displayed (see col.5, lines 19-33: "Text tool 34b provides a real time method for sending messages to members in a conference session"), said method comprising the steps of:

a sending user applying a standard paste command to transfer entire data of a sending computer's clipboard to said messaging system (see col.5, lines 29-33: displayed in the text tool window on the display of each recipient... via a copy and paste operation" and col.5, lines 59-63);

selecting said sending user selectable command which results in a system message being displayed in said communication screen (see col.5, lines 55-63: "allows users to post messages... user can elect... integrates the clipboard function"), said system message comprising a receiving user selectable command (see col.8, lines 59-60: "open function"); and

a receiving user selecting said receiving user selectable command, which results in said remote computer's clipboard being populated with said entire data (see col.9, line 67-col.10, line 2: "get function").

As per **claim 7**, Harple, Jr. teaches a method for populating a remote computer's clipboard via a network based messaging system, said messaging system comprising a graphic user interface (see col.2, lines 42-43: "user interface module" and col.5, lines

12-13: "GUI") and a plurality of computers which are communicatively connected via network (see Fig.2 and col.10, lines 13-14: "three workstations"), said interface comprising a message entry box where a user enters messages (see col.5, lines 52-63: "chat tool"), a sending user selectable command (see abstract: "library of collaboration commands"), and a communication screen where messages sent by each user are displayed (see col.5, lines 19-33: "Text tool 34b provides a real time method for sending messages to members in a conference session"), said method comprising the steps of:

a sending user copying a selected fragment of a document, which results in a sending computer's clipboard being populated (see col.5, lines 31-33: "via a copy and paste operation");

clicking said entry box (implicit: see col.5, lines 8-11: "clicking a mouse on the icon");

applying a standard paste command, which results in a transfer of entire data in said sending computer's clipboard into said messaging system (see col.5, lines 29-33: displayed in the text tool window on the display of each recipient... via a copy and paste operation" and col.5, lines 59-63);

selecting said sending user selectable command, which results in said entire data being transferred to said remote computer (see col.5, lines 55-63: "allows users to post messages... user can elect... integrates the clipboard function") and, at the same time, an automatically generated system message being displayed in said communication screen (see claim 2 rejection above), said system message comprising a receiving user selectable command (see col.8, lines 59-60: "open function"); and

Art Unit: 2155

a receiving user selecting said receiving user selectable command, which results in said remote computer's clipboard be populated with said entire data (see col.9, line 67-col.10, line 2: "get function").

As per claim 8, teaches a method for populating a remote computer's clipboard via a network based messaging system, said messaging system comprising a graphic user interface (see col.2, lines 42-43: "user interface module" and col.5, lines 12-13: "GUI") and a plurality of computers which are communicatively connected via the network (see Fig.2 and col.10, lines 13-14: "three workstations"), said interface comprising a message entry box where a user enters messages (see col.5, lines 52-63: "chat tool"), a sending user selectable command (see abstract: "library of collaboration commands"), and a communication screen where messages sent by each user are displayed (see col.5, lines 19-33: "Text tool 34b provides a real time method for sending messages to members in a conference session"), said method comprising the steps of:

a sending user copying a selected fragment of document, which results in a sending computer's clipboard being populated (see col.5, lines 31-33: via a copy and paste operation");

clicking said entry box (implicit: see col.5, lines 8-11: "clicking a mouse on the icon");

applying a standard paste command (see col.5, lines 31-33: via a copy and paste operation"), which results in a first system message being displayed in said entry box, said first system message indicating that entire data in said sending computer's

Art Unit: 2155

clipboard has been transferred into said messaging system (see claim 2 rejection above);

selecting said sending user selectable command, which results in said entire data being transferred from said sending computer to said remote computer (see col.5, lines 55-63: "allows users to post messages... user can elect... integrates the clipboard function") and, at the same time, a second system message being displayed in said communication screen (see claim 2 rejection above), said second system message comprising a receiving user selectable command (see col.8, lines 59-60: "open function"); and

a receiving user selecting said receiving user selectable command, which results in said remote computer's clipboard be populated with said entire data (see col.9, line 67-col.10, line 2: "get function").

As per claim 10, teaches a method for populating a remote computer's clipboard via a network based messaging system, said messaging system comprising a graphic user interface (see col.2, lines 42-43: "user interface module" and col.5, lines 12-13: "GUI") and a number of computers which are communicatively connected via the network (see Fig.2 and col.10, lines 13-14: "three workstations"), said interface comprising a message entry box where a user enters messages (see col.5, lines 52-63: "chat tool"), a sending user selectable command (see abstract: "library of collaboration commands"), and a communication screen where messages sent by each user are displayed (see col.5, lines 19-33: "Text tool 34b provides a real time method for sending messages to members in a conference session"), said method comprising the steps of:

a sending user copying a selected fragment of document, which results in a sending computer's clipboard being populated (see col.5, lines 31-33: via a copy and paste operation");

clicking said entry box (implicit: see col.5, lines 8-11: "clicking a mouse on the icon");

applying a standard paste command (see col.5, lines 31-33: via a copy and paste operation"), which results in a first system message being displayed in said entry box (see col.5, lines 29-31 & lines 55-57: The first message is the actual message or clipboard itself being displayed in the message entry box);

selecting said sending user selectable command which results in a second system being displayed in said communication screen (see col.5, lines 55-63: "allows users to post messages... user can elect... integrates the clipboard function"), said second system message comprising a receiving user selectable command (see col.8, lines 59-60: "open function"); and

a receiving user selecting said receiving user selectable command, which results in a transfer of entire data from said sending computer's clipboard to said remote computer's clipboard via said messaging system (see col.9, line 67-col.10, line 2: "get function").

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 3, 4, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harple, Jr. et al. (US 5,724,508 A) in view of Aldred et al. (US 5,652,866 A).

As per **claim 3**, which depends on claim 1, Harple, Jr. further teaches wherein said means for importing comprises: means for displaying in a message entry box a first system message indicating that said entire data is imported that said entire data is imported from said sending computer's clipboard into said messaging system, said message being displayed in said entry box immediately after a standard paste command is applied (see claim 2 rejection above).

Harple, Jr. does not explicitly teach a first system message indicating a transfer command.

Aldred teaches a first system message indicating a transfer command (see col.34, lines 30-35).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Harple, Jr. in view of Aldred by implementing a first system message indicating a transfer command. One would be motivated to do so because such implementation allows a user to "elect" (see col.5, lines 58-59) by a simple click of a mouse (see col.5, lines 10-11), which gives a user a simple means of double-checking.

As per **claim 4**, which depends on claim 3, further teaches wherein said transfer command is any of a sending user selectable command, a single click on a virtual button, and a press on a keyboard key (see col.5, lines 8-12 & lines 58-59).

As per claim 9, teaches a method for populating a remote computer's clipboard via a network based messaging system, said messaging system comprising a graphic user interface (see col.2, lines 42-43: "user interface module" and col.5, lines 12-13: "GUI") and a number of computers which are communicatively connected via the network (see Fig.2 and col.10, lines 13-14: "three workstations"), said interface comprising a message entry box where a user enters messages (see col.5, lines 52-63: "chat tool"), a sending user selectable command (see abstract: "library of collaboration commands"), and a communication screen where messages sent by each user are displayed (see col.5, lines 19-33: "Text tool 34b provides a real time method for sending messages to members in a conference session"), said method comprising the steps of:

a sending user copying a selected fragment of document, which results in a sending computer's clipboard being populated (see col.5, lines 31-33: via a copy and paste operation");

clicking said entry box (implicit: see col.5, lines 8-11: "clicking a mouse on the icon");

applying a standard paste command (see col.5, lines 31-33: via a copy and paste operation"), which results in a first system message being displayed in said entry box, said first system message indicating that the entire data in said sending computer's clipboard is transferred into said messaging system (see col.5, lines 29-31 & lines 55-

Art Unit: 2155

57: The first message is the actual message or clipboard itself being displayed in the message entry box);

selecting said sending user selectable command, which results in a transfer of entire data from said sending computer's clipboard to said remote computer via said messaging system (see col.5, lines 55-63: "allows users to post messages... user can elect... integrates the clipboard function") and, at the same time, a second system message being displayed in said communication screen, said second system message comprising a receiving user selectable command (see col.8, lines 59-60: "open function"); and

a receiving user selecting said receiving user selectable command, which results in said remote computer's clipboard be populated with said entire data (see col.9, line 67-col.10, line 2: "get function").

Harple, Jr. does not explicitly teach of a first system message indicating a selection of a sending user selectable command.

Aldred teaches of a first system message indicating a selection of a sending user selectable command (see col.34, lines 30-35).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Harple, Jr. in view of Aldred by implementing a first system message indicating a selection of a sending user selectable command. One would be motivated to do so because such implementation allows a user to "elect" (see col.5, lines 58-59) by a simple click of a mouse (see col.5, lines 10-11), which gives a user a simple means of double-checking.

#### Conclusion

- 8. For the reasons above claim 1-10 have been rejected and remain pending.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Y. Won whose telephone number is 571-272-3993. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th: 7AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on 571-272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael Won

January 17, 2007